

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.  
1625 RADIO DRIVE, SUITE 300  
WOODBURY, MINNESOTA 55125  
TEL 651.735-1100  
FAX 651.735-1102  
WWW.SSIPLAW.COM

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 4 - 2007

---

FACSIMILE SUBMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

---

TO:	FROM: Kari H. Battingale
COMPANY: USPTO	DATE: SEPTEMBER 4, 2007
FAX NUMBER: 571-273-8300	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 3
PHONE NUMBER:	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: 1092-015US01/1653US01
RE: Response to Restriction Requirement dated 8-3-07	APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER: 10/602,384

---

This facsimile message is intended for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information that is confidential, privileged and/or attorneys' work product. Any review or distribution by any other person is prohibited. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately contact the sender and delete all copies.

---

**SEP 4 - 2007****PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Richard J. Mehus; Charles A. Hodge; Quang Van Dao Confirmation No. 9839

Serial No.: 10/602,384

Filed: June 24, 2003 Customer No.: 28863

Examiner: Brian J. Sines Group Art Unit: 1743

Docket No.: 1092-015US01/1653US01

Title: CONCENTRATION MONITOR

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on September 4, 2007.

By: Caryl Harriman  
Name: Caryl Harriman

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed August 3, 2007. Claims 1-7 and 14-25 have been restricted under 35 U.S.C. § 121 as follows:

- I. Claims 1-7 and 18-25, drawn to a concentration monitor, classified in class 422, subclass 68.1.
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method of using a concentration monitor, classified in class 702, subclass 23.

Applicants hereby elect Group I, claims 1-7 and 18-25, with traverse.

**Traversal**

Applicants hereby elect Group I, claims 1-7 and 18-25, with traverse. According to MPEP § 803, there are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably